

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 \mathcal{C}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,121	09/19/2005	Thomas S Riccobene	1825.70153	1215	
24978 7590 07/13/2007 GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			EXAMINER		
300 S WACKER DR			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1772		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***************************************		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/550,121	RICCOBENE, THOMAS S
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Alexander Thomas	1772
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	ID STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 C	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S.C. § 133)
Status			
2a)☐ This acti 3)☐ Since th	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u> on is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This is application is in condition for allowan a accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Cla	aims		
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Pape 9) ☐ The spectors 10) ☐ The draw Applicant Replacent	16,17 and 24-31 is/are pending in the e above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. 16,17 and 24-31 is/are rejected. 16,17 and 24-31 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examiner ring(s) filed on is/are: a) □ access may not request that any objection to the orient drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Examiner condeclaration condeclaratio	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowle a)⊠ All b 1.□ Ce 2.□ Ce 3.⊠ Co	edgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c None of: ertified copies of the priority documents ertified copies of the priority documents opies of the certified copies of the prior eplication from the International Bureau stached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
3) 🛛 Information Disc	nces Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) plosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) plosure 6/21/07 & 9/19/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Application/Control Number: 10/550,121

Art Unit: 1772

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group III in the reply filed on 6/21/07 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 24-31 have been included in the elected Group III

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. Claims 27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The original disclosure does not have support for the first, second and third angles being substantially equal and for the face comprising a surface variation mold therein.
- 3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The meaning and scope of the phrase "surface variation mold therein" is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/550,121

Art Unit: 1772

Page 3

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 16, 17 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson 5,267,810. The reference discloses a building unit or paver comprising a face 12 having first and second sides, said sides being rotational images of each other, and spacers 58 projecting from each side. However, the reference's paver does not include a plurality of spacers on each side, indicia on the spacers or sides that are not identical. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide additional spacers on the sides of the product in the reference to enhance the structural integrity of a plurality of pavers when set in place since a mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless new and unexpected results are produced. The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is old in any art to provide indicia on a product for a variety of reasons, namely to label, decorate, to transmit information about the product, etc. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide indicia on the spacer of the product in the reference in order to convey information about the product. Regarding claim 17, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the sides in the product of the reference vary slightly in structure depending on the desired decorative effect to be achieved since a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.
- 6. Claims 16, 17 and 26-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattox D439,677 in view of Johnson. The primary reference

Art Unit: 1772

discloses a building unit or paver comprising a face having first and second sides wherein said sides are rotational images of each other. Johnson discloses the use of spacers on pavers to aid in their installation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the paver of the primary reference with a plurality of spacers on each side in view of the secondary reference to aid in spacing the pavers during installation. The examiner takes official notice of the fact that it is old in any art to provide indicia on a product for a variety of reasons, namely to label, decorate, to transmit information about the product, etc. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide indicia on the spacer of the product in the primary reference in order to convey information about the product. Regarding claim.

17, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the sides in the product of the primary reference vary slightly in structure depending on the desired decorative effect to be achieved since a slight change in size or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,121

Art Unit: 1772

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Olefamely Shawa